

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20580

Office of the Director Bureau of Competition

April 30, 2024

By Federal Express and Email

Steve Benz General Counsel Novo Nordisk Inc. 800 Scudders Mill Road Plainsboro, New Jersey 08536 sbenz@novonordisk.com

Robert Fischer Regulatory Affairs Director Novo Nordisk Inc. 800 Scudders Mill Road Plainsboro, New Jersey 08536 rfischer@novonordisk.com

Improper Orange Book Patent Listings for Ozempic, Saxenda, and Victoza Re:

Dear Mr. Benz,

On September 14, 2023, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") issued a Statement Concerning Brand Drug Manufacturers' Improper Listing of Patents in the Orange Book. ¹ The Policy Statement, a copy of which is appended to this letter, highlights the negative impacts that improper Orange Book patent listings may have on drug competition and notifies market participants "that the FTC intends to scrutinize [such] improper listings as unfair methods of competition in violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act."²

This letter is to inform you that we believe certain patents have been improperly or inaccurately listed in the Orange Book with regard to Novo Nordisk Inc.'s Ozempic, Saxenda, and Victoza products and that we have availed ourselves of the FDA's regulatory process and submitted patent listing dispute communications to the FDA regarding the listings identified below:³

² Policy Statement at 1.

¹ Federal Trade Commission, Statement Concerning Brand Drug Manufacturers' Improper Listing of Patents in the Orange Book (Sept. 14, 2023), FTC Policy Statement Concerning Brand Drug Manufacturers' Improper Listing of Patents in Orange Book (hereinafter "Policy Statement").

³ The Orange Book listings identified as improper in this chart should not be read as an exhaustive list of every patent that your company may have improperly submitted. Indeed, your firm bears the burden of listing patents in the Orange Book accurately and in accordance with all relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

NDA	Product(s)	Proprietary Name	Patent Number	Listing Type
			7762994	DP
			8684969	DP
			8920383	DP
			9108002	DP
			9132239	DP
			9457154	DP
			9616180	DP
209637	1, 2, 3, & 4	Ozempic	9687611	DP
209037			9775953	DP
			9861757	DP
			10220155	DP
			10357616	DP
			10376652	DP
			11097063	DP
			11311679	DP
			11446443	DP
			RE46363	DP
NDA	Product(s)	Proprietary Name	Patent Number	Listing Type
			7762994	DP
			8684969	DP
			8920383	DP
			9108002	DP
206321	1	Saxenda	9132239	DP
			9457154	DP
			9616180	DP
			9687611	DP
			9775953	DP
			9861757	DP
			10220155	DP
			10357616	DP
			10376652	DP
			11097063	DP

			11311679	DP
			11446443	DP
			RE46363	DP
N TWO A				
NDA	Product(s)	Proprietary Name	Patent Number	Listing Type
NDA 22341	Product(s)	_	Patent Number 7762994	Listing Type DP

As the Policy Statement explains, patents improperly listed in the Orange Book may delay lower-cost generic drug competition. By listing their patents in the Orange Book, brand drug companies may benefit from an automatic, 30-month stay of FDA approval of competing generic drug applications. In addition to delays resulting from such a stay of approval, the costs associated with litigating improperly listed patents may disincentivize investments in developing generic drugs, which risks delaying or thwarting competitive entry. The Supreme Court has recognized that improper Orange Book listings prevent or delay generic drug entry. Even brief delays in generic competition can reduce patient access to more affordable alternatives and increase costs across the entire health care system.

For decades, the FTC has sought to reduce the anticompetitive effects that result from improperly listing patents in the Orange Book, through enforcement and through amicus briefs articulating that improper listings may violate the antitrust laws. The FTC's Policy Statement serves to reinforce the FTC's concerns about the anticompetitive consequences of improper Orange Book listings and provide notice that the "FTC will continue to use all its tools to halt unlawful business practices that contribute to high drug prices."

As detailed in the Policy Statement, the FTC has several tools at its disposal to address improper Orange Book listings. One of those tools is using the FDA's process to dispute "the accuracy or relevance of patent information submitted" to the FDA for publication in the Orange Book.⁹

We have opted to use the FDA's regulatory dispute process to address the improper listings, but we retain the right to take any further action the public interest may require, which may include investigating this conduct as an unfair method of competition under Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, and as described in the Policy Statement.

3

⁴ Policy Statement at 3 (citing 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(5)(B)(iii)).

⁵ Id. at 3 (citing Caraco Pharm. Labs., Ltd. v. Novo Nordisk A/S, 566 U.S. 399, 408 (2012)).

⁶ *Id*. at 4.

⁷ *Id.* at 3; see also Decision and Order, *In re Biovail Corp.*, FTC Dkt. No. C-4060 (F.T.C. Oct. 2, 2002); Federal Trade Commission's Brief as *Amicus Curiae*, *Jazz Pharms.*, *Inc. v, Avadel CNS Pharms*. No. 1:21-cv-00691 (D. Del. Nov. 10, 2022) (Doc. No. 22-3),

https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/ftc_gov/pdf/P163500JazzPharmaAmicusBrief.pdf; see also Mem. of Law of *Amicus Curiae* the Federal Trade Commission In Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, *In re: Buspirone Patent Litig.*, MDL Docket No. 1410 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 8, 2002),

https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/amicus_briefs/re-buspirone-antitrust-litigation/buspirone.pdf.

⁸ Policy Statement at 6.

⁹ 314.53(f)(1)(i)(A).

a. 1	
Vincoro	T 7
Sincere	ı v .

/s/ Rahul Rao

Deputy Director

Bureau of Competition

Enclosure: FTC Policy Statement Concerning Brand Drug Manufacturers Improper Listing

of Patents in the Orange Book