

# **Show Me the Numbers:**Balancing Solar DG with Consumer Protection

Federal Trade Commission
Public Workshop on Solar Distributed Generation
June 21, 2016

Tim Woolf
Synapse Energy Economics

### Framework for Assessing Rate Designs & DG Policies

- Many DG policies are developed in a piecemeal fashion
- Few DG policy discussions are informed by quantitative analyses on all of the key issues:
  - 1. DG development
  - 2. Cost-effectiveness
  - 3. Cost-shifting
- We need a framework to assess all issues quantitatively
- Forthcoming Report:
  - Synapse Energy Economics, <u>Show Me the Numbers</u>: A framework for assessing distributed generation policies, prepared for Consumers' Union, due November, 2016

## 1. DG Development

The development of DG resources under different policy options should be explicitly modeled

- Relatively straight-forward methods:
  - Payback periods
  - Customer adoption rates
  - Penetration rates
- The forecast penetration rates under different policy options can then be input into analyses of
  - cost-effectiveness and
  - cost-shifting

#### 2. Cost-Effectiveness

Value of Solar studies use a variety of different tests:

- <u>Utility Cost</u>: Impacts on utility revenue requirements
- <u>Total Resource Cost</u>: Impacts on host customer and utility
- Societal Cost: Impacts on society (value of solar)
- Rate Impact Measure (RIM): Implications for cost-shifting
- Many studies combine the RIM test with the other tests
- Studies can be very inconsistent, due to different methods
- Consistency would help clarify issues
  - Utility Cost Test
  - TRC Test
  - Societal Test

# 3. Cost-Shifting

Cost-shifting is one of the most important issues in determining DG policies, but is rarely analyzed quantitatively and clearly.

- The RIM test does not provide meaningful information
  - Results can be misleading
- A long-term rate impact analysis should be used instead
  - Including all the costs and benefits that affect rates
  - Accounting for the impacts of lost revenues on rates
- Implications
  - Lost revenues create <u>upward</u> pressure on rates
  - Avoided costs create <u>downward</u> pressure on rates
  - Cost shifting is a result of the <u>net effect</u>

# **A Framework to Assess Policy Options**

#### Illustrative Example:

Policy Options:	1. Cost-Effectiveness			2. Rate Impacts	3. DG Development	
	Utility Net Benefits (PVRR)	TRC Net Benefits	Societal Net Benefits	(Long-Term Average)	Customer Payback (years)	DG Penetration (10 years)
1. NEM: conventional	\$120	\$24	\$60	0.8%	10	12%
2. NEM: with reduced payment for excess	\$60	\$12	\$30	0.6%	14	8%
3. NEM: plus increased fixed charges	\$12	\$2	\$6	0.0%	20	2%

- This information can be used to balance the goals of:
  - allowing sustainable development of distributed PV, and
  - protecting customers.

# Impacts of Solar DG Policies on Payback Periods

#### Initial, draft results:

State	Policy	<b>Before Policy</b>	After Policy
AZ	Mandatory demand charges	14	26
НІ	Reduced payment for excess generation & higher fixed charge	6	7
MA	Increased fixed charge	4.5	4.7
NV	Increased fixed charge & reduced payment for excess	11	21

#### **Contact Information**

Tim Woolf
Vice President
Synapse Energy Economics
617-453-7031

twoolf@synapse-energy.com www.synapse.energy.com

# **Appendix**

## **Related Ratemaking Elements**

# Cost of Service Studies

- Goal: cost allocation.
- <u>Costs</u>: based on embedded (historical) costs.
- Connection: Used as input to rate design. But does not dictate rate design.

## Rate Design

- Goals: revenue recovery, equity, efficient price signals.
- <u>Costs</u>: addresses both historical and future (net) costs.
- Connection: Price signals influence customer DER decisions.

# Resource Planning

- Goals: to provide low-cost, reliable, safe, electric services.
- <u>Costs</u>: based on future (net) costs.
- Connection: Will be influenced by customer DER decisions.