

FEDERAL EXPRESS

Wayne Kaplan, Esq.

Pederal Trade Commission

Bureau of Competition

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to

Sixth & Pennsylvania, NTMs meterial may be subject to subject t

This letter confirms your recent telephone conversation with of our office in connection with my letter to you dated December 4, 1984.

We hereby confirm that the music publishing business of the of companies will be acquired by a new corporation (New Co.) from one seller which is owned 50% by and 50% by and 50% of closed on of about December 19, 1984 and to be effective at the close of business on December 31, 1984. We also confirm that upon formation of New Co., no shareholder of New Co. held assets or voting securities of New Co. valued at more than \$15,000,000 or 50% or more of the voting securities of New Co. Finally, we confirm that there are no post-closing arrangements in effect or contemplated which will make our statements herein inaccurate.

We will presume unless you notify us to the contrary in writing by December 14, 1984 that no filing under Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act will be necessary in connection with the acquisition described herein on the facts presented herein and in my letter dated December 4, 1984.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

rousew 3/9/8/2 W.E.K.

A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH

12/1/84 2 d Cotta PFI To Refustor acquisitions plurales
Usa mently tong forting
rule will not probe 22 cts
acquisitions refers to several sellers- If they are over 15 HI securities of 33 4. Item 4- con Angle to "acquestions" only Out of country til 12/10/84