





RECEIVED NOV 6 2 51 PH'8, PRE HICKGER NOTIFICATION OFFICE

November 5, 1985

## EXPRESS MAIL - RETURN PECEIPT

Pre-Merger Notification Office reteriel row to subject to of Bureau of Competition Commission Competition Competition Commission Competition Commission Competition Competit

Attn: Mr. Wayne Kaplan

RE: PRE-MERGER NOTIFICATION UNDER THE HART-SCOTT-RODINO ANTI-TRUST IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 1976

Dear Mr. Kaplan:

This is to advise you that, based upon a telephone conversation between you and me on November 5, 1985, and our analysis of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Anti-Trust improvement Act of 1976 ("Act") and rules promulgated thereunder, we have concluded that the transactions described below are not subject to reporting under the Act because the \$15 million threshold for the size of transaction test is not met. If you do not agree with our conclusion, kindly notify us at your earliest convenience so that we may take appropriate action. If we do not hear from your office within 7 days of your receipt of this letter, we will proceed with our transactions on the premise that reports under the Act need not be made.

The parties involved in the transactions described below are the following:

t. present owner of the assets to be sold.

of 100% of the voting securities of the publicly held company.

which is wholly owned by the latter is a publicly held company.

OK WEK
11/e/85

Mr. Wayne Raplan November 5, 1985 Page 2

stock of the roting stock of the stock is held by over 100 enareholders.

The balance of the stock is held by over 100 enareholders.

do not own in the aggregate a significant interest instance of the stock is held by over 100 on to the own an interest, either directly or beneficially, entitling them to vote 10% or more of the issued outstanding voting stock of the Board of Directors of the some of nine members of the Board of Directors of

have entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement ("Agreement") effective October 1, 1985. A copy of the Agreement, without Exhibits, is attached to this letter. (The Exhibits are voluminous and consist principally of specific descriptions of assets being purchased.)

party to the Agreement for the purpose of guaranteeing (performance, as set forth in paragraph 16.15 of the Agreement.

The Agreement provides for the sale by of certain of oil and gas reserves and related equipment and other related assets (collectively "Assets"). The purchase price for the Assets is \$22 million, subject to the possibility of certain upward or downward adjustments. For the purposes of reaching our conclusions as set forth in this letter, we have assumed that the adjustments will not exceed a net amount of \$4 million, so that the total purchase price will not exceed \$26 million.

At the time was engaged in discussions with culminating in the Agreement.

Agreement would acquire 50% of all of rights and obligations under the Agreement and, thus, the right to an undivided 50% interest in all Assets being conveyed pursuant to the Agreement. During the course of negotiations between requarding the Agreement requested that the document be structured to include the as a named party-purchaser as to an undivided 50% of the Assets. Prefused because it wanted to expedite the negotiations and closing of the transaction, and that not investigated financial or managerial ability to achieve those goals as it had the did agree to paragraph 16.3 in the Agreement permitting to assign 50% of its interest in the Agreement to

Mr. Wayne Kaplan November 5, 1985 Page 3

As of November 1, 1985.

entered into a written agreement pursuant to which coquired 50% of interest in and obligations under the Agreement and the right to an undivided 50% interest in the Assets at closing. A copy of that agreement is attached to this letter.

tor its 50% share of the initial payment of a portion of the purchase price under paragraph 3.1 of the Agreement.

has agreed that at the closing it will assign directly to each of the by separate instrument its undivided 50% interest in the Assets.

will pay directly to the balance due on the purchase price.

Obviously, the transaction between was not entered into to avoid removing under the Act, but for a legitimate business purpose, namely to permit to acquire a 50% interest in the Assets being sold by

Based upon the foregoing we have concluded that the transaction in question, as now structured, involves two separate sales of assets, one to the other to neither of which sale considered alone exceeds the \$15 million threshold for application of the filing requirements under the Act and rules. Accordingly, we have concluded that the end of the reports under the Act concerning these transactions. We would appreciate your advising us promptly if you conclude differently.



