UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES



In the Matter of Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc. a corporation, Pallottine Health Services, Inc. a corporation, and St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc. a corporation,

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. 9366

ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTIONS OF COMPLAINT COUNSEL FOR ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENAS

I.

On January 13, 2016, Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") Complaint Counsel filed two motions for the issuance of subpoenas pursuant to FTC Rule 3.36, 16 C.F.R. § 3.36. Through one motion, Complaint Counsel requests the issuance of a subpoena for subpoenas *ad testificandum* to officials of and personnel associated with Marshall University, a public university in West Virginia (the "Marshall University Personnel"). In its second motion, Complaint Counsel requests the issuance of a subpoena *ad testificandum* to Mr. James Pitrolo, Chairman of the West Virginia Health Care Authority ("WVHCA"), an agency of the State of West Virginia (collectively, "Motions").

Rule 3.36(b) requires the party seeking issuance of a subpoena requiring the appearance of an official or employee of another governmental agency to make a showing that: the material sought is reasonable in scope; the material is within the limits of discovery under Rule 3.31(c)(1); the information or material sought cannot reasonably be obtained by other means; and the subpoena meets the requirements of Rule 3.37. Complaint Counsel recites that Respondents do not oppose the Motions.

II.

Hospital's ("Cabell") unopposed motion for a deposition subpoena directed to Mr. Pitrolo, pursuant to Rule 3.36. Pursuant to Additional Provision 13 of the Scheduling Order issued in this case: the parties are required to consult with each other prior to confirming any deposition to coordinate the time and place of the deposition; third-party depositions are limited to a maximum of seven hours; and, for any third-party deposition noticed by both Complaint Counsel and Respondents, the maximum time for the deposition shall be allocated under the terms of Additional Provision 13. Thus, although Cabell has been authorized to serve a deposition subpoena directed to Mr. Pitrolo, it is not duplicative for Complaint Counsel to seek to crossnotice Mr. Pitrolo. The parties shall comply with the requirements of Additional Provision 13.

Complaint Counsel's motions meet the requirements of Rule 3.36 and are therefore GRANTED.

Pursuant to Rule 3.34, in the event that the Marshall University Personnel or Mr. Pitrolo seek to limit or quash the subpoenas, they shall have the earlier of ten days after service of the subpoena or the time for compliance therewith to file any such motion. 16 C.F.R. § 3.34(c). In addition, pursuant to Rule 3.36(c), Complaint Counsel may forward to the Secretary a request for the authorized subpoenas, with a copy of this authorizing order attached. Complaint Counsel shall serve a copy of this order on the Marshall University Personnel and Mr. Pitrolo at the time it serves the subpoenas. 16 C.F.R. § 3.36(c).

ORDERED:

DM chappell

D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: January 13, 2016

I hereby certify that on January 13, 2016, I filed an electronic copy of the foregoing Order Granting Unopposed Motions of Complaint Counsel for Issuance of Subpoenas, with:

D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 110 Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 172 Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on January 13, 2016, I served via E-Service an electronic copy of the foregoing Order Granting Unopposed Motions of Complaint Counsel for Issuance of Subpoenas, upon:

Thomas H. Brock Attorney Federal Trade Commission TBrock@ftc.gov Complaint

Alexis Gilman Attorney Federal Trade Commission agilman@ftc.gov Complaint

Tara Reinhart Attorney Federal Trade Commission treinhart@ftc.gov Complaint

Mark D. Seidman Attorney Federal Trade Commission mseidman@ftc.gov Complaint

Michelle Yost Attorney Federal Trade Commission myost@ftc.gov Complaint

Kenneth Field Jones Day kfield@jonesday.com Respondent

Geoffrey Irwin Jones Day gsirwin@jonesday.com Respondent Kerri Ruttenberg Jones Day kruttenberg@jonesday.com Respondent

Michael Fried Jones Day msfried@jonesday.com Respondent

Louis Fisher Jones Day lkfisher@jonesday.com Respondent

Tara Zurawski Jones Day tzurawski@jonesday.com Respondent

Douglas Litvack Jones Day dlitvack@jonesday.com Respondent

Aaron Healey Jones Day ahealey@jonesday.com Respondent

Thomas Craig Bailes, Craig & Yon, PLLC tlc@bcyon.com Respondent

James Bailes Bailes, Craig & Yon, PLLC jrb@bcyon.com Respondent

David Simon Foley & Lardner LLP dsimon@foley.com Respondent

H. Holden Brooks Foley & Lardner LLP hbrooks@foley.com Respondent

Benjamin Dryden Foley & Lardner LLP bdryden@foley.com Respondent

Elizabeth C. Arens Attorney Federal Trade Commission earens@ftc.gov

Complaint

Jeanine Balbach Attorney Federal Trade Commission jbalbach@ftc.gov Complaint

Stephanie R. Cummings Attorney Federal Trade Commission srcummings@ftc.gov Complaint

Melissa Davenport Attorney Federal Trade Commission mdavenport@ftc.gov Complaint

Svetlana S. Gans Attorney Federal Trade Commission sgans@ftc.gov Complaint

Elisa Kantor Attorney Federal Trade Commission ekantor@ftc.gov Complaint

Michael Perry Attorney Federal Trade Commission mperry@ftc.gov Complaint

Marc Schneider Attorney Federal Trade Commission mschneider@ftc.gov Complaint

Samuel I. Sheinberg Attorney Federal Trade Commission ssheinberg@ftc.gov Complaint

David J. Laing Attorney Federal Trade Commission dlaing@ftc.gov Complaint

Nathaniel Hopkin Attorney Federal Trade Commission nhopkin@ftc.gov Complaint

Steve Vieux Attorney Federal Trade Commission svieux@ftc.gov Complaint

Lindsey Lonergan Jones Day Ilonergan@jonesday.com Respondent

Jessica Casey Jones Day jcasey@jonesday.com Respondent

> Lynnette Pelzer Attorney