

Becoming Behavioral Regulators

Federal Trade Commission Economic Conference on Marketing and Consumer Protection

Eric J. Johnson

Columbia Business School –Marketing and Center for Decision Science

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (Usual Disclaimer Applies)

Federal Trade Commission Economic Conference on Marketing and Consumer Protection

Agenda

- Introduction: Why be behavioral?
- A contrast from Mortgage Decision Making
- Observations about Disclosure

If we are not behavioral, we ignore...

- Empirically grounded models of Time Preferences
- Empirical models of Risk Preferences
- Limitations on Information Processing
- Traditional analysis of market failures are often attributed to lack of information.
- Result can be regulations that produce unwanted consequences.
- These can not only produce reductions in social welfare, but have the perverse effect of harming more vulnerable consumers the most.

Time Preferences, Being Underwater and Walking Away

Eric J. Johnson

Stephen A. Atlas

John W. Payne (JMR, in press)



Thanks to joint funding from the Sage and Sloan Foundations, NIA, and Zillow and BlackBox for data

Choosing between an ARM and a FRM is an Time Preference Problem: A principle agent vs. a behavioral analysis. (Mayer and Johnson, 2011)

Characteristics of a 2/28

Low initial payments 7.6%
\$1000

Move into the house soon

No Money Down

Payments will increase
(Libor + 6.25%) 11% or
\$1400

I can refinance when prices
go up

I can clean up my credit act

**Characteristics of a 30
year fixed.**

Higher Initial Payments

Need to save first--\$15000-
\$30,000: 10-20% down

No prepayment penalty

I'm okay if I can afford the
house now

Future does not depend on
me improving.

Results:

- Setup: Using representative surveys *and* a sample of mortgage holders, we measure time preferences using a quasi-hyperbolic discounting model (Laibson, 1997, DEEP, Toubia, Johnson, Johnson, Evgeniou and Delquié, MS, 2013)
 - Build a ‘toy’ model that suggests:
 - Present Bias and impatience will be more likely to choose adjustable mortgages
 - They will more likely be underwater
 - *BUT* they will be less likely to walk away from that mortgage (see Della Vigna and Passerman 2005)
 - Results: Confirm all three hypotheses (3SLS, Present bias *reverses sign*).
 - Consistent with the observation that HARP 1.0 was a failure.
-

Privacy and Disclosure

- Privacy is an *assembled* value

- Much affected by defaults.

- People want it all:

- Customization

- Anonymity

- Disclosure is providing information not otherwise easily available

- It can have perverse effects: “Now that its disclosed, I think the discloser is ok.”

- It raises processing costs, can have differential effects (Ben-Shahar and Schneider, 2011)

- Solutions? *Lower* processing costs using choice architecture.

Figure 1. Checkbox format questions for participation in health surveys.

No-Action Question	Default	Participation	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Notify me about more health surveys.		Not Participate	48.2%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Do NOT notify me about more health surveys.		Participate	96.3%

From Bellman, Johnson and Lohse,
CACM, 2001