

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES**

_____)	
In the Matter of)	
)	
HomeAdvisor, Inc., a corporation,)	
d/b/a Angi Leads,)	Docket No. 9407
d/b/a HomeAdvisor Powered By Angi,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
_____)	

**COMPLAINT COUNSEL’S UNOPPOSED
MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER**

Pursuant to Rule 3.21(c)(2) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.21(c)(2), Complaint Counsel moves to amend the Scheduling Order in this matter and enter the attached Proposed Scheduling Order (“Proposed Order”). The Proposed Order would correct a discrepancy between previous extensions of the hearing date and the pre-hearing deadlines. Additionally, because the initial Scheduling Order in this matter has been amended repeatedly, the Proposed Order would integrate all prior amendments and provide a single document for the Court and the parties, superceding the initial Scheduling Order. Respondent does not oppose this motion.

Background: The Court first issued its Scheduling Order in this matter on April 25, 2022. On June 15, the Court amended Paragraph 8 of the Scheduling Order. Order Granting Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Move to Compel Discovery Responses. On September 7, 2022, the Court delayed to September 20, 2022, the close of fact discovery “solely for the purposes of allowing the completion of the deposition of HomeAdvisor.” Order Granting Joint Motion to Extend Time to Complete Fact Discovery. On September 9, 2022, the

Commission ordered that “the evidentiary hearing in this proceeding [...] will be conducted virtually by video conference[.]” Order Directing Further Proceedings and Specifying Facts Without Substantial Controversy at 1. On September 19, 2022, the Commission delayed the evidentiary hearing in this matter to February 22, 2023 – approximately three and a half months after its prior November 9, 2022 date – but “unless modified by the Chief Administrative Law Judge, all related prehearing deadlines” were extended by exactly three months. Order Granting Complaint Counsel’s Expedited Motion to Continue Evidentiary Hearing Date and Prehearing Deadlines (“September 19 Order”). Thus, the operative components of the scheduling order are currently spread across five documents.

Argument: The Court should enter the Proposed Order because it would promote efficiency without disadvantaging or inconveniencing either party or the Court.¹ Rule 3.21 empowers the Court to, “upon a showing of good cause, grant a motion to extend any deadline or time specified in this scheduling order other than the date of the evidentiary hearing.” 16 C.F.R. § 3.21(c)(2). In delaying the evidentiary hearing, the Commission explicitly noted the Court’s ongoing authority to modify other dates. September 19 Order at 2. The Proposed Order would keep the same time between deadlines established in the original Scheduling Order, counting backwards from the new evidentiary hearing date.² Good cause exists because it would be inefficient and inconvenient to require all pre-hearing matters to be completed two weeks prior to the evidentiary hearing – including the final prehearing conference regularly set for the day before the hearing. Moreover, the Proposed Order would promote efficiency and clarity by re-

¹ To the extent any of the proposed dates that require the Court’s involvement are inconvenient for the Court, the parties do not object to moving those deadlines to the nearest convenient date.

² January 16, 2023, is a federal holiday, so the Proposed Order sets deadlines that would fall on that day to January 17, 2023, and a response deadline that would fall on January 26, 2023, to January 27, 2023.

consolidating the terms of the scheduling order required by Rule 3.21(c)(1) into a single document instead of five. Besides the changes to the dates of prehearing deadlines, the Proposed Order incorporates the Court's and Commission's prior orders without changing the status quo. Accordingly, Complaint Counsel moves, and Respondent does not oppose, that the Court enter the Proposed Order.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 17, 2022

s/ Colin D. A. MacDonald
Sophia H. Calderón
Colin D. A. MacDonald
Breena M. Roos
M. Elizabeth Howe
Katharine F. Barach
Nadine S. Samter
Ben A. Halpern-Meekin

Federal Trade Commission
Northwest Region
915 Second Ave., Suite 2896
Seattle, WA 98174
Tel.: (206) 220-6350
Fax: (206) 220-6366
Email: scalderson@ftc.gov
cmaacdonald@ftc.gov
broos@ftc.gov
mhowe@ftc.gov
kbarach@ftc.gov
nsamter@ftc.gov
bhalpernmeekin@ftc.gov

Counsel Supporting the Complaint

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES**

_____)	
In the Matter of)	
)	
HomeAdvisor, Inc., a corporation,)	
d/b/a Angi Leads,)	Docket No. 9407
d/b/a HomeAdvisor Powered By Angi,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
_____)	

**PROPOSED
SCHEDULING ORDER**

- May 10, 2022 - Complaint Counsel provides preliminary witness list (not including experts) with a brief summary of the proposed testimony.
- May 24, 2022 - Respondent's Counsel provides preliminary witness list (not including experts) with a brief summary of the proposed testimony.
- June 7, 2022 - Complaint Counsel provides expert witness list.
- June 21, 2022 - Respondent's Counsel provides expert witness list.
- June 28, 2022 - Deadline for issuing document requests, interrogatories and subpoenas, except for discovery for purposes of authenticity and admissibility of exhibits.
- August 9, 2022 - Deadline for issuing requests for admissions, except for requests for admissions for purposes of authenticity of documents.
- September 6, 2022 - Close of discovery, other than discovery permitted under Rule 3.24(a)(4), depositions of experts, and discovery for purposes of authenticity and admissibility of exhibits. Fact discovery may continue until December 20, 2022 solely for the purposes of allowing the completion of the deposition of Respondent.
- January 3, 2023 - Deadline for Complaint Counsel to provide expert witness reports.
- January 9, 2023 - Complaint Counsel provides to Respondent's Counsel its final

proposed witness and exhibit lists, including depositions, copies of all exhibits (except for demonstrative, illustrative or summary exhibits and expert related exhibits), Complaint Counsel's basis of admissibility for each proposed exhibit, and a brief summary of the testimony of each witness.

Complaint Counsel serves courtesy copies on ALJ of its final proposed witness and exhibit lists, its basis of admissibility for each proposed exhibit, and a brief summary of the testimony of each witness, including its expert witnesses.

January 17, 2023 - Deadline for Respondent's Counsel to provide expert witness reports (to be provided by 4 p.m. ET). Respondent's expert report shall include (without limitation) rebuttal, if any, to Complaint Counsel's expert witness report(s).

January 17, 2023 - Respondent's Counsel provides to Complaint Counsel its final proposed witness and exhibit lists, including depositions, copies of all exhibits (except for demonstrative, illustrative or summary exhibits and expert related exhibits), Respondent's basis of admissibility for each proposed exhibit, and a brief summary of the testimony of each witness.

Respondent's Counsel serves courtesy copies on ALJ its final proposed witness and exhibit lists, its basis of admissibility for each proposed exhibit, and a brief summary of the testimony of each witness, including its expert witnesses.

January 19, 2023 - Parties that intend to offer confidential materials of an opposing party or non-party as evidence at the hearing must provide notice to the opposing party or non-party, pursuant to 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(b).¹ See Additional Provision 12.

January 27, 2023 - Complaint Counsel to identify rebuttal expert(s) and provide rebuttal expert report(s). Any such reports are to be limited to rebuttal of matters set forth in Respondent's expert reports. If material outside the scope of fair rebuttal is presented, Respondents will have the right to seek appropriate relief (such as

¹ Appendix A to Commission Rule 3.31, the Standard Protective Order, states that if a party or third party wishes *in camera* treatment for a document or transcript that a party intends to introduce into evidence, that party or third party shall file an appropriate motion with the Administrative Law Judge within 5 days after it receives notice of a party's intent to introduce such material. Commission Rule 3.45(b) states that parties who seek to use material obtained from a third party subject to confidentiality restrictions must demonstrate that the third party has been given at least 10 days' notice of the proposed use of such material. To resolve this apparent conflict, the Scheduling Order requires that the parties provide 10 days' notice to the opposing party or third parties to allow for the filing of motions for *in camera* treatment.

striking Complaint Counsel’s rebuttal expert reports or seeking leave to submit surrebuttal expert reports on behalf of Respondents).

- January 30, 2023 - Deadline for filing motions for *in camera* treatment of proposed trial exhibits.
- February 3, 2023 - Deadline for filing motions *in limine* to preclude admission of evidence. *See* Additional Provision 13.
- February 6, 2023 - Deadline for depositions of experts (including rebuttal experts) and exchange of expert related exhibits.
- February 8, 2023 - Complaint Counsel files pretrial brief supported by legal authority.
- February 8, 2023 - Deadline for filing responses to motions for *in camera* treatment of proposed trial exhibits.
- February 10, 2023 - Exchange and serve courtesy copy on ALJ objections to final proposed witness lists and exhibit lists. The Parties are directed to review the Commission’s Rules on admissibility of evidence before filing objections to exhibits and raise only objections that are necessary and valid.
- February 13, 2023 - Deadline for filing responses to motions *in limine* to preclude admission of evidence.
- February 15, 2023 - Exchange proposed stipulations of law, facts, and authenticity.
- February 17, 2023 - Respondent’s Counsel files pretrial brief supported by legal authority.
- February 21, 2023 - Final prehearing conference to begin at 1:00 p.m.

The parties shall meet and confer prior to the prehearing conference regarding trial logistics and proposed stipulations of law, facts, and authenticity of exhibits. To the extent the parties have agreed to stipulate to any issues of law, facts, and/or authenticity of exhibits, the parties shall prepare a list of such stipulations and submit a copy of the stipulations to the ALJ one business day prior to the conference. At the conference, the parties’ list of stipulations shall be marked as “JX1” and signed by each party, and the list shall be offered into evidence as a joint exhibit. No signature by the ALJ is required. Any subsequent stipulations

may be offered as agreed by the parties.

Counsel may present any objections to the final proposed witness lists and exhibits. All trial exhibits will be admitted or excluded to the extent practicable. To the extent the parties agree to the admission of each other's exhibits, the parties shall prepare a list identifying each exhibit to which admissibility is agreed, marked as "JX2" and signed by each party, which list shall be offered into evidence as a joint exhibit. No signature by the ALJ is required.

February 22, 2023 - Commencement of Hearing, to begin at 10:00 a.m..

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

1. For all papers that are required to be filed with the Office of the Secretary, the parties shall serve a courtesy copy on the Administrative Law Judge by electronic mail to the following email address: oyalj@ftc.gov. The courtesy copy should be transmitted at or shortly after the time of any electronic filing with the Office of the Secretary. Courtesy copies must be transmitted to Office of the Administrative Law Judge directly, and the FTC E-filing system shall not be used for this purpose. The oyalj@ftc.gov email account is to be used only for courtesy copies of pleadings filed with the Office of the Secretary and for documents specifically requested of the parties by the Office of Administrative Law Judges. Certificates of service for any pleading shall not include the OALJ email address, or the email address of any OALJ personnel, including the Chief ALJ, but rather shall designate only 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-110 as the place of service. **The subject line of all electronic submissions to oyalj@ftc.gov shall set forth only the docket number and the title of the submission.** The parties are not required to serve a courtesy copy to the OALJ in hard copy, except upon request. In any instance in which a courtesy copy of a pleading for the Administrative Law Judge cannot be effectuated by electronic mail, counsel shall hand deliver a hard copy to the Office of Administrative Law Judges. Discovery requests and discovery responses shall not be submitted to the Office of Administrative Law Judges.

2. The parties shall serve each other by electronic mail and shall include "Docket 9407" in the re: line and all attached documents in .pdf format. In the event that service through electronic mail is not possible, the parties may serve each other through any method authorized under the Commission's Rules of Practice.

3. Each pleading that cites to unpublished opinions or opinions not available on LEXIS or WESTLAW shall include such copies as exhibits.

4. Each motion (other than a motion to dismiss, motion for summary decision, or a motion for *in camera* treatment) shall be accompanied by a separate signed statement representing that counsel for the moving party has conferred with opposing counsel in an effort in good faith to resolve by agreement the issues raised by the motion and has been unable to reach such an agreement. In addition, pursuant to Rule 3.22(g), for each motion to quash filed

pursuant to § 3.34(c), each motion to compel or determine sufficiency pursuant to § 3.38(a), or each motion for sanctions pursuant to § 3.38(b), the required signed statement must also “recite the date, time, and place of each . . . conference between counsel, and the names of all parties participating in each such conference.” Motions that fail to include such separate statement may be denied on that ground.

5. Rule 3.22(c) states:

All written motions shall state the particular order, ruling, or action desired and the grounds therefor. Memoranda in support of, or in opposition to, any dispositive motion shall not exceed 10,000 words. Memoranda in support of, or in opposition to, any other motion shall not exceed 2,500 words. Any reply in support of a dispositive motion shall not exceed 5,000 words and any reply in support of any other motion authorized by the Administrative Law Judge or the Commission shall not exceed 1,250 words.

If a party chooses to submit a motion without a separate memorandum, the word count limits of 3.22(c) apply to the motion. If a party chooses to submit a motion with a separate memorandum, absent prior approval of the ALJ, the motion shall be limited to 750 words, and the word count limits of 3.22(c) apply to the memorandum in support of the motion. This provision applies to all motions filed with the Administrative Law Judge, including those filed under Rule 3.38.

6. If papers filed with the Office of the Secretary contain *in camera* or confidential material, the filing party shall mark any such material in the complete version of their submission with **{bold font and braces}**. 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(e). Parties shall be aware of the rules for filings containing such information, including 16 C.F.R. § 4.2.

7. Each party is limited to 50 document requests, including all discrete subparts; 25 interrogatories, including all discrete subparts; and 50 requests for admissions, including all discrete subparts, except that there shall be no limit on the number of requests for admission for authentication and admissibility of exhibits. Any single interrogatory inquiring as to a request for admissions response may address only a single such response. There is no limit to the number of sets of discovery requests the parties may issue, so long as the total number of each type of discovery request, including all subparts, does not exceed these limits. Within seven days of service of a document request, the parties shall confer about the format for the production of electronically stored information. If any federal court proceeding related to this administrative proceeding is initiated, any discovery obtained in this proceeding may be used in the related federal court litigation, and vice versa.

8. Compliance with the scheduled end of discovery requires that the parties serve subpoenas and discovery requests sufficiently in advance of the discovery cut-off and that all responses and objections will be due on or before that date, unless otherwise noted. Any motion to compel responses to discovery requests, or to seek certification of a request for court enforcement of a nonparty subpoena, shall be filed within 30 days of when the responding party declines to make a production or answer an interrogatory, in whole or in part, and no further conferral between the parties is planned on the issue, or within 20 days after the close of discovery, whichever first occurs; except that, where the parties have been engaging in negotiations over a

discovery dispute, including negotiations with any nonparty with regard to a subpoena, the deadline for the motion to compel shall be within 5 days of reaching an impasse.

9. The deposition of any person may be recorded by videotape, provided that the deposing party notifies the deponent and all parties of its intention to record the deposition by videotape at least five days in advance of the deposition. No deposition, whether recorded by videotape or otherwise, may exceed a single, seven-hour day, unless otherwise agreed to by the parties or ordered by the Administrative Law Judge.

10. The parties shall serve upon one another, at the time of issuance, copies of all subpoenas *duces tecum* and subpoenas *ad testificandum*. For subpoenas *ad testificandum*, the party seeking the deposition shall consult with the other parties before the time and place of the deposition is scheduled. The parties need not separately notice the deposition of a non-party noticed by an opposing party. Unless the parties otherwise agree, at the request of any party, the time and allocation for a non-party deposition shall be divided evenly between them, but the noticing party may use any additional time not used by the opposing party. If no party makes such a request, cross-examination of the witness will be limited to one hour.

11. Non-parties shall provide copies or make available for inspection and copying of documents requested by subpoena to the party issuing the subpoena. The party that has requested documents from non-parties shall provide copies of the documents received from non-parties to the opposing party within three business days of receiving the documents. No deposition of a non-party shall be scheduled between the time a non-party provides documents in response to a subpoena *duces tecum* to a party, and 3 business days after the party provides those documents to the other party, unless a shorter time is required by unforeseen logistical issues in scheduling the deposition, or a non-party produces those documents at the time of the deposition, as agreed to by all parties involved.

12. If a party intends to offer confidential materials of an opposing party or non-party as evidence at the hearing, in providing notice to such non-party, the parties are required to inform each non-party of the strict standards for motions for *in camera* treatment for evidence to be introduced at trial set forth in 16 C.F.R. § 3.45; in *In re Otto Bock Healthcare North American*, 2018 WL 3491602 at *1 (July 2, 2018); and *In re 1-800 Contacts, Inc.*, 2017 FTC LEXIS 55 (April 4, 2017). Motions also must be supported by a declaration or affidavit by a person qualified to explain the confidential nature of the documents. *In re 1-800 Contacts, Inc.*, 2017 FTC LEXIS 55 (April 4, 2017); *In re North Texas Specialty Physicians*, 2004 FTC LEXIS 66 (April 23, 2004). Each party or non-party that files a motion for *in camera* treatment shall provide one copy of the documents for which *in camera* treatment is sought to the Administrative Law Judge.

13. Motions *in limine* are strongly discouraged. Motion *in limine* refers “to any motion, whether made before or during trial, to exclude anticipated prejudicial evidence before the evidence is actually offered.” *In re Daniel Chapter One*, 2009 FTC LEXIS 85, *18-20 (April 20, 2009) (citing *Luce v. United States*, 469 U.S. 38, 40 n.2 (1984)). Evidence should be excluded in advance of trial on a motion *in limine* only when the evidence is clearly inadmissible on all potential grounds. *Id.* (citing *Hawthorne Partners v. AT&T Technologies, Inc.*, 831 F.

Supp. 1398, 1400 (N.D. Ill. 1993); *Sec. Exch. Comm'n v. U.S. Environmental, Inc.*, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19701, at *5-6 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 16, 2002)). Moreover, the risk of prejudice from giving undue weight to marginally relevant evidence is minimal in a bench trial such as this where the judge is capable of assigning appropriate weight to evidence.

14. The final witness lists shall represent counsels' good faith designation of all potential witnesses who counsel reasonably expect may be called in their case-in-chief. A general designation that a party reserves the right to call anyone on the opposing party's witness list is not sufficient. Parties shall notify the opposing party promptly of changes in witness lists to facilitate completion of discovery within the dates of the scheduling order. The final proposed witness list may not include additional witnesses not listed in the preliminary or supplemental witness lists previously exchanged unless by consent of all parties, or, if the parties do not consent, by an order of the Administrative Law Judge upon a showing of good cause.

15. If any party wishes to offer a rebuttal witness other than a rebuttal expert, the party shall file a request in writing in the form of a motion to request a rebuttal witness. That motion shall be filed as soon as possible after the testimony sought to be rebutted is known and shall include: (a) the name of any witness being proposed (b) a detailed description of the rebuttal evidence being offered; (c) citations to the record, by page and line number, to the evidence that the party intends to rebut; and shall demonstrate that the witness the party seeks to call has previously been designated on its witness list or adequately explain why the requested witness was not designated on its witness list.

16. Witnesses shall not testify to a matter unless evidence is introduced sufficient to support a finding that the witness has personal knowledge of the matter. F.R.E. 602.

17. Witnesses not properly designated as expert witnesses shall not provide opinions beyond what is allowed in F.R.E. 701.

18. The parties are required to comply with Rule 3.31A and with the following:

(a) At the time an expert is first listed as a witness by a party, that party shall provide to the other party:

(i) materials fully describing or identifying the background and qualifications of the expert, all publications authored by the expert within the preceding ten years, and all prior cases in which the expert has testified or has been deposed within the preceding four years; and

(ii) transcripts of such testimony in the possession, custody, or control of the producing party or the expert, except that transcript sections that are under seal in a separate proceeding need not be produced.

(b) At the time an expert report is produced, the producing party shall provide to the other party all documents and other written materials relied upon by the expert in formulating an opinion in this case, subject to the provisions of 19(g), except that documents and materials already produced in the case need only be listed by Bates number.

(c) It shall be the responsibility of a party designating an expert witness to ensure that the expert witness is reasonably available for deposition in keeping with this Scheduling Order. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties or ordered by the Administrative Law Judge, expert witnesses shall be deposed only once and each expert deposition shall be limited to one day for seven hours.

(d) Each expert report shall include a complete statement of all opinions to be expressed and the basis and reasons therefore; the data or other information considered by the expert in forming the opinions; any exhibits to be used as a summary of or support for the opinions; the qualifications of the expert; and the compensation to be paid for the study and testimony.

(e) A party may not discover facts known or opinions held by an expert who has been retained or specially employed by another party in anticipation of this litigation or preparation for hearing and who is not designated by a party as a testifying witness.

(f) At the time of service of the expert reports, a party shall provide opposing counsel:

- (i) a list of all commercially-available computer programs used by the expert in the preparation of the report;
- (ii) a copy of all data sets used by the expert, in native file format and processed data file format; and
- (iii) all customized computer programs used by the expert in the preparation of the report or necessary to replicate the findings on which the expert report is based.

(g) Experts' disclosures and reports shall comply in all respects with Rule 3.31A, except that neither side must preserve or disclose:

- (i) any form of communication or work product shared between any of the parties' counsel and their expert(s), or between any of the experts themselves;
- (ii) any form of communication or work product shared between an expert(s) and persons assisting the expert(s);
- (iii) expert's notes, unless they constitute the only record of a fact or an assumption relied upon by the expert in formulating an opinion in this case;
- (iv) drafts of expert reports, analyses, or other work product; or
- (v) data formulations, data runs, data analyses, or any database-related operations not relied upon by the expert in the opinions contained in his or her final report.

19. If the expert reports prepared for either party contain confidential information that has been granted *in camera* treatment, the party shall prepare two versions of its expert report(s) in accordance with Additional Provision 6 of this Scheduling Order and 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(e).

20. Pursuant to the Commission's September 9, 2022 Order Directing Further Proceedings and Specifying Facts Without Substantial Controversy, the evidentiary hearing in this matter will be conducted remotely by video conference. The parties are encouraged, in

advance of the hearing, to take expert depositions for the purpose of perpetuating trial testimony (i.e., a trial deposition) and to submit such trial testimony as an exhibit in lieu of presenting the expert's testimony via live video at trial. This trial deposition may be conducted in addition to any deposition of an expert witness for purposes of discovery (discovery deposition). Although the parties are encouraged to submit trial depositions in lieu of live video testimony at trial for all expert witnesses in the case, you may choose to do trial depositions for all or fewer than all experts.

21. Pursuant to the Commission's September 9, 2022 Order Directing Further Proceedings and Specifying Facts Without Substantial Controversy, the evidentiary hearing in this matter will be conducted remotely by video conference. To accommodate safety or other concerns of witnesses and attorneys and staff, the parties may, in advance of the hearing, take trial depositions of fact witnesses who had been deposed before the close of discovery and to submit such trial deposition testimony (as video and/or transcript of trial deposition testimony) as an exhibit in lieu of presenting the fact witness' testimony via live video at trial. Although the parties may submit trial depositions in lieu of live video testimony at trial for all fact witnesses in the case, you may choose to do trial depositions for fewer than all fact witnesses.

21A. All trial depositions shall occur between the close of discovery and the close of the hearing; a party noticing a trial deposition shall give five days' notice to the other party. The parties shall work cooperatively to accommodate each other's schedules when noticing trial depositions.

20. An expert witness's testimony is limited to opinions contained in the expert report that has been previously and properly provided to the opposing party. In addition, no opinion will be considered, even if included in an expert report, if the underlying and supporting documents and information have not been properly provided to the opposing party. Unless an expert witness is qualified as a fact witness, an expert witness is only allowed to provide opinion testimony; expert testimony is not considered for the purpose of establishing the underlying facts of the case.

21. The final exhibit lists shall represent counsels' good faith designation of all trial exhibits other than demonstrative, illustrative, or summary exhibits. Additional exhibits may be added after the submission of the final lists only by consent of all parties, or, if the parties do not consent, by an order of the Administrative Law Judge upon a showing of good cause.

22. Properly admitted deposition testimony and properly admitted investigational hearing transcripts are part of the record and need not be read in open court. Videotape deposition excerpts that have been admitted in evidence may be presented in open court only upon prior approval by the Administrative Law Judge.

23. The parties shall provide to one another, and to the Administrative Law Judge and the court reporter, no later than 48 hours in advance, not including weekends and holidays, a list of all witnesses to be called on each day of hearing, subject to possible delays or unforeseen circumstances.

24. The parties shall provide one another with copies of any demonstrative, illustrative or summary exhibits (other than those prepared for cross-examination) 24 hours before they are used with a witness.

25. Complaint Counsel's exhibits shall bear the designation PX and Respondent's exhibits shall bear the designation RX or some other appropriate designation. Complaint Counsel's demonstrative exhibits shall bear the designation PXD and Respondent's demonstrative exhibits shall bear the designation RXD or some other appropriate designation. If demonstrative exhibits are used with a witness, the exhibit will be marked and referred to for identification only. Any demonstrative exhibits referred to by any witness may be included in the trial record, but they are not part of the evidentiary record and may not be cited to support any disputed fact. Both sides shall number the first page of each exhibit with a single series of consecutive numbers. When an exhibit consists of more than one piece of paper, each page of the exhibit must bear a consecutive control number or some other consecutive page number.

26. At the final prehearing conference, counsel will be required to introduce all exhibits they intend to introduce at trial. The parties shall confer and shall eliminate duplicative exhibits in advance of the final prehearing conference and, if necessary, during trial. For example, if PX100 and RX200 are different copies of the same document, only one of those documents shall be offered into evidence. The parties shall agree in advance as to which exhibit number they intend to use. Counsel shall contact the court reporter regarding submission of exhibits.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 17, 2022, I filed the foregoing document electronically using the FTC's E-Filing system, which will send notification of such filing to:

April Tabor
Office of the Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
Constitution Center
400 Seventh Street, SW, Suite 5610
Washington, D.C. 20024
ElectronicFilings@ftc.gov

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-110
Washington, DC 20580

I further certify that on November 17, 2022, I caused the foregoing document to be served via email to:

William A. Burck
Dawn Y. Yamane Hewett
Kyra R. Simon
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
1300 I Street NW, 9th Floor
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 538-8000
williamburck@quinnemanuel.com
dawnhewett@quinnemanuel.com
kyrasimon@quinnemanuel.com

Stephen R. Neuwirth
Jennifer J. Barrett
Neil T. Phillips
George T. Phillips
Jared Ruocco
Kathryn D. Bonacorsi
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
(212) 849-7000
stephenneuwirth@quinnemanuel.com
jenniferbarrett@quinnemanuel.com
neilphillips@quinnemanuel.com
georgephillips@quinnemanuel.com
jaredruocco@quinnemanuel.com
kathrynbacorsi@quinnemanuel.com

Counsel for Respondent HomeAdvisor, Inc.

Dated: November 17, 2022

By: s/ Colin D. A. MacDonald
Colin D. A. MacDonald

Counsel Supporting the Complaint